



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/695,247

10/27/2003

Wayne Dawson

F-8015

5890

28107 7590 05/05/2009

JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP  
122 EAST 42ND STREET  
SUITE 4000  
NEW YORK, NY 10168

EXAMINER

SKOWRONEK, KARLHEINZ R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/05/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/695,247	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DAWSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK	<b>Art Unit</b> 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) John Starr. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: the pending claim set.

Claim(s) discussed: 2 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The remaining rejection under 35 USC 101 was discussed. Potential claim amendments were discussed to overcome the rejection. Applicant was reminded to indicate where support may be found for the amendments to the claims. Issues regarding antecedent basis for claim language in claims 2 and 8 were discussed. Potential remedies were discussed..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/KARLHEINZ R SKOWRONEK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1631	
--	--